

The modified Euler scheme for a weak approximation of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by a Wiener process

Semen Bodnarchuk

Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute

Potsdam, 1 April 2019

joint result with prof. Alexey Kulik

Let X be a diffusion process in \mathbb{R}^d of the form

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t a(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1)$$

where $W \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a Wiener process, $a : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$.

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(X_T) = ?$$

If X_T is known then one can simulate N independent copies of it, e.g. $X_T^{(i)}$, and approximate

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(X_T) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(X_T^{(i)}).$$

Unfortunately, in most cases the law of X_T is not known. In this case one can use an approximation of it, e.g. \hat{X}_T . Then

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_x f(X_T) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(\hat{X}_T^{(i)}) \right| \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_x f(X_T) - \mathbb{E}_x f(\hat{X}_T) \right| + \left| \mathbb{E}_x f(\hat{X}_T) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(\hat{X}_T^{(i)}) \right|.$$

Consider a time discretization of the interval $[0; T]$ with step $h = \frac{T}{n}$:

$$t_k = kh, \quad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{X_s : s \leq t\}$.

Definition

We call cadlag process $Y^h = Y = \{Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ a time discrete approximation if Y_{t_k} is \mathcal{F}_{t_k} -measurable and $Y_{t_{k+1}}$ can be expressed as a function of $Y_0, \dots, Y_{t_k}, t_0, \dots, t_k, t_{k+1}$ and a finite number of $\mathcal{F}_{t_{k+1}}$ -measurable variables.

Example. (Maruyama'55) The simplest time discrete approximation of solution to (1) is the Euler approximation (or the Euler-Maruyama approximation)

$$Y_{t_{k+1}} = Y_{t_k} + a(Y_{t_k})h + \sigma(Y_{t_k})(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k}), \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1 \quad (2)$$

with $Y_0 = x$.

Definition

We say that a time discrete approximation Y^h converges weakly with order $\beta > 0$ to X at time T as $h \rightarrow 0$ if for all 'good enough' functions f there exist a positive constant C , which does not depend on h , such that

$$|Ef(X_T) - Ef(Y_T^h)| \leq Ch^\beta. \quad (3)$$

Example. (Milshtein'78) The Euler approximation converges to X with the weak order $\beta = 1$.

How to improve the order of approximation?

1) The classical approach: by using the Ito-Taylor expansion.

P. E. Kloeden, E. Platen, *Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1995.

2) Our approach.

It can be shown (Milshstein'78) that for proving (3) it's sufficient to prove

$$|Ef(X_h) - Ef(Y_h^h)| \leq Ch^{\beta+1}. \quad (4)$$

On the interval $[0, h]$ the Euler scheme has the form

$$\tilde{X}_t = x + a(x)t + \sigma(x)W_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq h.$$

Let us prove that Euler approximation has the weak order $\beta = 1$. We need to show that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_x f(X_h) - \mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) \right| \leq Ch^2.$$

If X is a process of form (1) and $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$g(X_t) = g(x) + \int_0^t Ag(X_s)ds + \int_0^t Lg(X_s)dW_s, \quad (5)$$

where

$$Ag(y) = a(y)g'(y) + \frac{1}{2}b(y)g''(y), \quad Lg(y) = \sigma(y)g'(y).$$

Here $b(y) = \sigma^2(y)$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x g(X_t) = g(x) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x Ag(X_s)ds. \quad (6)$$

For \tilde{X} the Ito formula gives

$$\mathbb{E}_x g(\tilde{X}_t) = g(x) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x \tilde{A}g(\tilde{X}_s) ds,$$

where

$$\tilde{A}g(y) = a(x)g'(y) + \frac{1}{2}b(x)g''(y).$$

If $f \in C_b^4(\mathbb{R})$, $a, \sigma \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_x f(X_h) &= f(x) + \int_0^h \mathbb{E}_x A f(X_s) ds = f(x) + \int_0^h \left(A f(x) + \int_0^s \mathbb{E}_x A(A f(X_r)) dr \right) ds = \\ &= f(x) + A f(x)h + \int_0^h \int_0^s \mathbb{E}_x A(A f(X_r)) dr ds = f(x) + A f(x)h + O(h^2).\end{aligned}$$

For \tilde{X} we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) &= f(x) + a(x) \int_0^h \mathbb{E}_x f'(\tilde{X}_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} b(x) \int_0^h \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_s) ds = \\ &= f(x) + a(x) \int_0^h \left(f'(x) + \int_0^s \mathbb{E}_x \tilde{A} f'(\tilde{X}_r) dr \right) ds + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} b(x) \int_0^h \left(f''(x) + \int_0^s \mathbb{E}_x \tilde{A} f''(\tilde{X}_r) \right) ds = \\ &= f(x) + Af(x)h + O(h^2).\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(X_h) = f(x) + Af(x)h + \frac{1}{2}A(Af(x))h^2 + O(h^3) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) \stackrel{?}{=} f(x) + Af(x)h + \frac{1}{2}A(Af(x))h^2 + O(h^3), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(Af(x)) = & \left(a(x)a'(x) + \frac{1}{2}a''(x)b(x) \right) f'(x) + \\ & + \left(a^2(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)b'(x) + a'(x)b(x) + \frac{1}{4}b(x)b''(x) \right) f''(x) + \\ & + \left(a(x)b(x) + \frac{1}{2}b(x)b'(x) \right) f'''(x) + \frac{1}{4}b^2(x)f^{(IV)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) = f(x) + Af(x)h + \frac{1}{2} \left(a^2(x)f''(x) + a(x)b(x)f'''(x) + \frac{1}{4}b^2(x)f^{(IV)}(x) \right) h^2 + O(h^3).$$

Instead of the Euler scheme we consider its modification of the form

$$\hat{X}_t = \tilde{X}_t + \Delta_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq h,$$

where the corrector $\Delta = \Delta_t(\tilde{X}_t)$ has to be chosen in a such way that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_x f(X_h) - \mathbb{E}_x f(\hat{X}_h) \right| \leq Ch^3$$

for all 'good enough' functions f .

For constructing the corrector Δ_t we introduce the notion of Hermite polynomials. Let us remind their definition. Transition probability density $p_t(x, y)$ of the process \tilde{X}_t has the form

$$p_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi tb(x)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y - x - a(x)t)^2}{2tb(x)} \right\}.$$

Definition

The Hermite polynomials are the family of functions

$$\{H_t^{(m)}(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\},$$

each of them satisfies an equality

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial y^m} p_t(x, y) = (-1)^m H_t^{(m)}(x, y) p_t(x, y).$$

$$H_t^{(0)}(x, y) = 1,$$

$$H_t^{(1)}(x, y) = \frac{(y - x - a(x)t)}{b(x)t},$$

$$H_t^{(2)}(x, y) = \frac{(y - x - a(x)t)^2}{b^2(x)t^2} - \frac{1}{b(x)t}$$

and so on.

In what follows we need the next relations

$$\left(H_t^{(1)}(x, y)\right)^2 = H_t^{(2)}(x, y) + \frac{1}{tb(x)}, \quad (9)$$

$$H_t^{(1)}(x, y)H_t^{(2)}(x, y) = H_t^{(3)}(x, y) + \frac{2}{tb(x)}H_t^{(1)}(x, y), \quad (10)$$

$$\left(H_t^{(2)}(x, y)\right)^2 = H_t^{(4)}(x, y) + \frac{4}{tb(x)}H_t^{(2)}(x, y) + \frac{2}{t^2b^2(x)}. \quad (11)$$

Lemma

Let $\tilde{X}_t = x + a(x)t + \sigma(x)W_t, t \geq 0$. Then

1) for $f \in C_b^m(\mathbb{R})$ the following formula holds true

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_t) H_t^{(m)}(x, \tilde{X}_t) = \mathbb{E}_x f^{(m)}(\tilde{X}_t). \quad (12)$$

2) for $f, a, \sigma \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ there exists constant C , which doesn't depend on t and x , such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_t) H_t^{(m)}(x, \tilde{X}_t) \right| \leq Ct^{-\frac{m}{2}}. \quad (13)$$

We define

$$\Delta_t := t^2 \left(c_0(x) + c_1(x)H_t^{(1)}(x, \tilde{X}_t) + c_2(x)H_t^{(2)}(x, \tilde{X}_t) \right), \quad (14)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2}a(x)a'(x) + \frac{1}{4}a''(x)b(x), \\ c_1(x) &= \frac{1}{4}a(x)b'(x) + \frac{1}{2}a'(x)b(x) + \frac{1}{8}b(x)b''(x) - \frac{1}{16}(b'(x))^2, \\ c_2(x) &= \frac{1}{4}b(x)b'(x). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

If $f \in C_b^6(\mathbb{R})$, $a, \sigma \in C_b^4(\mathbb{R})$ then the following bound holds true

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_x f(X_h) - \mathbb{E}_x f(\hat{X}_h) \right| \leq Ch^3.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(X_h) = f(x) + Af(x)h + \frac{1}{2}A(Af(x))h^2 + O(h^3) \quad (15)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(\hat{X}_h) \stackrel{?}{=} f(x) + Af(x)h + \frac{1}{2}A(Af(x))h^2 + O(h^3). \quad (16)$$

Consider the scheme \hat{X} with corrector Δ defined as in (14) with arbitrary coefficients $c_0(x), c_1(x)$ та $c_2(x)$. By the Taylor formula

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_x f(\hat{X}_h) &= \mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h + \Delta_h) = \mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) + \mathbb{E}_x f'(\tilde{X}_h)\Delta_h + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h)\Delta_h^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\mathbb{E}_x f'''(\tilde{X}_h + \theta_h \Delta_h)\Delta_h^3, \quad \theta_h \in (0, 1).\end{aligned}$$

Consider each term separately.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_x f(\tilde{X}_h) &= f(x) + Af(x)h + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(a^2(x)f''(x) + a(x)b(x)f'''(x) + \frac{1}{4}b^2(x)f^{(IV)}(x) \right) h^2 + O(h^3).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x f'(\tilde{X}_h) \Delta_h &= \\ &= h^2 \mathbb{E}_x f'(\tilde{X}_h) \left(c_0(x) + c_1(x) H_h^{(1)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) + c_2(x) H_h^{(2)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (12) we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x f'(\tilde{X}_h) H_h^{(1)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) = \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h),$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x f'(\tilde{X}_h) H_h^{(2)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) = \mathbb{E}_x f'''(\tilde{X}_h).$$

Then by the Ito formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x f'(\tilde{X}_h) \Delta_h &= \\ &= h^2 (c_0(x) f'(x) + c_1(x) f''(x) + c_2(x) f'''(x)) + O(h^3). \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \Delta_h^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \Delta_h^2 = \\ & = \frac{h^4}{2} \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \left(c_0(x) + c_1(x) H_h^{(1)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) + c_2(x) H_h^{(2)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) = O(1),$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) H_h^{(1)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) = \mathbb{E}_x f'''(\tilde{X}_h) = O(1),$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) H_h^{(2)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) = \mathbb{E}_x f^{(IV)}(\tilde{X}_h) = O(1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \left(H_h^{(1)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) \right)^2 &= \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \left(H_h^{(2)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) + \frac{1}{b(x)h} \right) = \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x f^{(IV)}(\tilde{X}_h) + \frac{1}{b(x)h} \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) = O\left(\frac{1}{h}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \Delta_h^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) H_h^{(1)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) H_h^{(2)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) = \\ & = \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \left(H_h^{(3)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) + \frac{2}{b(x)h} H_h^{(1)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) \right) = \\ & = \mathbb{E}_x f^{(V)}(\tilde{X}_h) + \frac{2}{b(x)h} \mathbb{E}_x f'''(\tilde{X}_h) = O\left(\frac{1}{h}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \left(H_h^{(2)}(x, \tilde{X}_h) \right)^2 = \\ & = \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) \left(H_h^{(4)}(x, y) + \frac{4}{b(x)h} H_h^{(2)}(x, y) + \frac{2}{b^2(x)h^2} \right) = \\ & = \mathbb{E}_x f^{(VI)}(\tilde{X}_h) + \frac{4}{b(x)h} \mathbb{E}_x f^{(IV)}(\tilde{X}_h) + \frac{2}{b^2(x)h^2} \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h) = \\ & = O\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) + \frac{2}{b^2(x)h^2} \mathbb{E}_x f''(\tilde{X}_h). \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{E}_x f'''(\tilde{X}_h + \theta_h \Delta_h) \Delta_h^3 = O(h^3).$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x f(\hat{X}_h) = f(x) + Af(x)h + \frac{h^2}{2} \left[2c_0(x)f'(x) + \left(2c_1(x) + \frac{2c_2^2(x)}{b^2(x)} + a^2(x) \right) f''(x) \right. \\ \left. + (2c_2(x) + a(x)b(x))f'''(x) + \frac{1}{4}b^2(x)f^{(IV)}(x) \right] + O(h^3).$$

Recall that

$$A(Af(x)) = \left(a(x)a'(x) + \frac{1}{2}a''(x)b(x) \right) f'(x) + \\ + \left(a^2(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x)b'(x) + a'(x)b(x) + \frac{1}{4}b(x)b''(x) \right) f''(x) + \\ + \left(a(x)b(x) + \frac{1}{2}b(x)b'(x) \right) f'''(x) + \frac{1}{4}b^2(x)f^{(IV)}(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}c_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2}a(x)a'(x) + \frac{1}{4}a''(x)b(x), \\c_1(x) &= \frac{1}{4}a(x)b'(x) + \frac{1}{2}a'(x)b(x) + \frac{1}{8}b(x)b''(x) - \frac{1}{16}(b'(x))^2, \\c_2(x) &= \frac{1}{4}b(x)b'(x).\end{aligned}$$

If $a, \sigma \in C^6$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_h &= x + \int_0^h a(X_s) ds + \int_0^h \sigma(X_s) dW_s = \\
 &= x + \int_0^h \left(a(x) + \int_0^s Aa(X_r) dr + \int_0^s La(X_r) dW_r \right) ds + \\
 &+ \int_0^h \left(\sigma(x) + \int_0^s A\sigma(X_r) dr + \int_0^s L\sigma(X_r) dW_r \right) dW_s = \\
 &= x + a(x) \int_0^h ds + \sigma(x) \int_0^h dW_s + R_2 =
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x + a(x)h + \sigma(x)W_h + \\
&+ Aa(x) \int_0^h \int_0^s dr ds + La(x) \int_0^h \int_0^s dW_r ds + A\sigma(x) \int_0^h \int_0^s dr dW_s + L\sigma(x) \int_0^h \int_0^s dW_r dW_s + \\
&\quad + R_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Let Z_1 and Z_2 are two independent $N(0, 1)$ random variables. Then

$$W_h = \sqrt{h}Z_1, \quad \int_0^h \int_0^s dW_r ds = \frac{1}{2}h^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(Z_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}Z_2 \right).$$

$$\int_0^h \int_0^s dW_r dW_s = \frac{1}{2} (W_h^2 - h).$$

$$\int_0^h \int_0^s dW_r^i dW_s^k = ?$$

Thank you for attention!