Some Surprises in the Spectral Theory of Almost-Periodic Schrödinger Operators

David Damanik (Rice University)

Analysis and Geometry on Graphs and Manifolds University of Potsdam

August 3, 2017

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

 $[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n) = f(T^n \omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n) = f(T^n \omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

 $V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{\text{Special cases:}}{(\mathsf{i}) \ V \ \mathsf{periodic}} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_{\rho} \Leftrightarrow \exists \rho \ \mathsf{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + \rho) = V(\cdot) \\ \displaystyle (\mathsf{ii}) \ V \ \mathsf{quasi-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{T}^{d} \\ \displaystyle (\mathsf{iii}) \ V \ \mathsf{limit-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega \ \mathsf{Cantor} \Leftrightarrow V = \|\cdot\|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_{k}^{(per)} \end{array}$

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

 $V(n) = f(T^n \omega)$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{\text{Special cases:}}{(\mathsf{i}) \ V \ \mathsf{periodic}} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_p \Leftrightarrow \exists p \ \mathsf{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot) \\ \displaystyle (\mathsf{ii}) \ V \ \mathsf{quasi-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d \\ \displaystyle (\mathsf{iii}) \ V \ \mathsf{limit-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega \ \mathsf{Cantor} \Leftrightarrow V = \|\cdot\|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_k^{(per)} \end{array}$

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $\ell : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{\text{Special cases:}}{(i) \ V \ \text{periodic}} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_{p} \Leftrightarrow \exists p \ \text{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot) \\ (ii) \ V \ \text{quasi-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{T}^{d} \\ (iii) \ V \ \text{limit-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega \ \text{Cantor} \Leftrightarrow V = \|\cdot\|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_{k}^{(per)} \end{array}$

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{\text{Special cases:}}{(i) \ V \ \text{periodic} \ \Leftrightarrow \ \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_p \ \Leftrightarrow \ \exists p \ \text{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot) \\ (ii) \ V \ \text{quasi-periodic} \ \Leftrightarrow \ \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d \\ (iii) \ V \ \text{limit-periodic} \ \Leftrightarrow \ \Omega \ \text{Cantor} \ \Leftrightarrow \ V = \| \cdot \|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_k^{(per)} \end{array}$

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \underbrace{ \mathsf{Special cases:} \\ (\mathrm{i}) \ V \ \mathrm{periodic} \ \Leftrightarrow \ \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_p \ \Leftrightarrow \ \exists p \ \mathrm{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot) \\ (\mathrm{ii}) \ V \ \mathrm{quasi-periodic} \ \Leftrightarrow \ \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d \\ (\mathrm{iii}) \ V \ \mathrm{limit-periodic} \ \Leftrightarrow \ \Omega \ \mathsf{Cantor} \ \Leftrightarrow \ V = \| \cdot \|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_k^{(per)} \end{array}$

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{\text{Special cases:}}{(\mathsf{i}) \ V \ \mathsf{periodic}} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_p \Leftrightarrow \exists p \ \mathsf{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot) \\ \displaystyle (\mathsf{ii}) \ V \ \mathsf{quasi-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d \\ \displaystyle (\mathsf{iii}) \ V \ \mathsf{limit-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega \ \mathsf{Cantor} \Leftrightarrow V = \| \cdot \|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_k^{(per)} \end{array}$

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Special cases:

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Special cases:}}\\\hline (i) \ V \ \text{periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_p \Leftrightarrow \exists p \ \text{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot)\\\hline (ii) \ V \ \text{quasi-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d\\\hline (iii) \ V \ \text{limit-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega \ \text{Cantor} \Leftrightarrow V = \|\cdot\|_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} V_n^{(per)} \end{array}$

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

```
\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Special cases:}}\\\hline (i) \ V \ \text{periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_p \Leftrightarrow \exists p \ \text{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot)\\\hline (ii) \ V \ \text{quasi-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d\\\hline (iii) \ V \ \text{limit-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega \ \text{Cantor} \Leftrightarrow V = \|\cdot\|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_k^{(per)}\end{array}
```

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{\text{Special cases:}}{(i) \ V \ \text{periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_p \Leftrightarrow \exists p \ \text{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot) \\ (ii) \ V \ \text{quasi-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d \\ (iii) \ V \ \text{limit-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega \ \text{Cantor} \Leftrightarrow V = \|\cdot\|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_k^{(per)} \end{array}$

We consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

Our main focus will be on *almost-periodic* potentials V, that is, V's for which the set of translates of V has compact closure in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Alternatively, this means that V can be written as

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

where Ω is a compact Abelian group, $T : \Omega \to \Omega$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ is a minimal translation, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{\text{Special cases:}}{(i) \ V \ \text{periodic}} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{Z}_p \Leftrightarrow \exists p \ \text{s.t.} \ V(\cdot + p) = V(\cdot) \\ (ii) \ V \ \text{quasi-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d \\ (iii) \ V \ \text{limit-periodic} \Leftrightarrow \Omega \ \text{Cantor} \Leftrightarrow V = \|\cdot\|_{\infty} - \lim_{k \to \infty} V_k^{(per)} \end{array}$

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Based on heuristics and classical results in this field, several paradigms have emerged.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Let us explain the heuristic argument. Given V almost periodic, consider the operator $H_{\lambda} = \Delta + \lambda V$ with an additional coupling constant λ . Clearly, $H_0 = \Delta$, which has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and hence one would hope that some kind of perturbative argument would show that H_{λ} has (purely) absolutely continuous spectrum for sufficiently small λ , as well.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

3. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to Floquet-Bloch solutions (Pastur-Tkachenko 88).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

3. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to Floquet-Bloch solutions (Pastur-Tkachenko 88).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

3. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to Floquet-Bloch solutions (Pastur-Tkachenko 88).
Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum.

Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ik\rho}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure

 $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Periodic potentials give rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Moreover, the generalized eigenfunctions have Floquet-Bloch structure $(u(n) = e^{ikp}u^{(per)}(n))$. Suitable approximation with periodic potentials should push some of these properties through to the limit.

Some classical implementations of this idea:

1. A dense set of limit-periodic potentials gives rise to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 81).

2. Quasi-periodic potentials with Liouville frequencies give rise to continuous spectrum (Avron-Simon 82).

Recall that we consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

where

 $V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$

with a minimal translation $T : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 1.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Theorem (Avila-D. 05)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{ac}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d \text{ and almost all } \lambda > 0\}$

Recall that we consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

where

 $V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$

with a minimal translation $T : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 1.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Theorem (Avila-D. 05)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{ac}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d \text{ and almost all } \lambda > 0\}$

Recall that we consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

where

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

with a minimal translation $T : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d$, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 1.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Theorem (Avila-D. 05)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d \text{ and almost all } \lambda > 0\}$

Recall that we consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

where

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

with a minimal translation $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d, \ \omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ and a continuous $f: \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 1.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Theorem (Avila-D. 05)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d \text{ and almost all } \lambda > 0\}$

Recall that we consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

where

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

with a minimal translation $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d, \ \omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 1.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

```
Theorem (Avila-D. 05)

Fixing T as above, the set

\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{ac}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d \text{ and almost all } \lambda > 0\}

is a dense G_{\delta}.
```

Recall that we consider Schrödinger operators H acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$[H\psi](n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n)$$

where

$$V(n)=f(T^n\omega)$$

with a minimal translation $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d, \ \omega \mapsto \omega + \alpha$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 1.

Paradigm 1. Small potentials favor absolutely continuous spectrum, and large potentials favor pure point spectrum.

Theorem (Avila-D. 05)

Fixing T as above, the set

$$\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d,\mathbb{R}): \sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}(\Delta+\lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d \text{ and almost all } \lambda > 0\}$$

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

```
Fixing T as above, the set
```

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem (Boshernitzan-D. 08)

Fixing T as above, the set

 $\{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \sigma_{pp}(\Delta + \lambda V) = \emptyset \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and almost all } \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$

is a dense G_{δ} .

Combining the two results, we see that for a given minimal torus translation, we have that for a generic set of sampling functions f, the operator $\Delta + \lambda V$ has purely singular continuous spectrum for almost all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and almost all $\lambda > 0$. From the perspective of Paradigm 1, this is surprising for both small and large values of λ .

The proof of the first result relies on Kotani theory, relating the absolutely continuous spectrum and the set of energies where the Lyapunov exponent vanishes, and a new upper-semicontinuity property of the Lyapunov exponent.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

Remarks:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

Remarks:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

Remarks:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

<u>Remarks</u>:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

<u>Remarks</u>:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

Remarks:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has not spectrum is dense.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

<u>Remarks</u>:

- 1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.
- 2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

Remarks:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty}\leq 2.$

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

<u>Remarks</u>:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense. 4. This extends easily to the multi-frequency case.

Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

<u>Remarks</u>:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.
Let us now discuss some more recent results.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $||f||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum.

<u>Remarks</u>:

1. This holds for any irrational frequency, even Liouville numbers.

2. The sampling function may have arbitrarily small norm, there had been no prior example with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

3. We can actually prove the following stronger result: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$, the set of $f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(\omega + n\alpha)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

4. This extends easily to the multi-frequency case.

To emphasize how little about the base dynamics we use in the proof of this statement, let us generalize the latter statement even further.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose Ω is a compact metric space and $T : \Omega \to \Omega$ is invertible. Assume $\omega \in \Omega$ is such that its orbit $\{T^n \omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is infinite. Then, the set of $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V_{\omega}(n) = f(T^n \omega)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

To emphasize how little about the base dynamics we use in the proof of this statement, let us generalize the latter statement even further.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose Ω is a compact metric space and $T : \Omega \to \Omega$ is invertible. Assume $\omega \in \Omega$ is such that its orbit $\{T^n \omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is infinite. Then, the set of $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V_{\omega}(n) = f(T^n \omega)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

To emphasize how little about the base dynamics we use in the proof of this statement, let us generalize the latter statement even further.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose Ω is a compact metric space and $T : \Omega \to \Omega$ is invertible. Assume $\omega \in \Omega$ is such that its orbit $\{T^n \omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is infinite. Then, the set of $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for which the Schrödinger operator with potential $V_{\omega}(n) = f(T^n \omega)$ has pure point spectrum is dense.

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of T), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of T), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of T), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of T), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of \mathbb{T}), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of \mathbb{T}), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of \mathbb{T}), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of \mathbb{T}), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

As pointed out above, this type of result is of course most surprising for Liouville frequencies α . However, in that case there is no hope to improve the regularity statement on f.

Fixing any modulus of continuity, one can show using a Gordon-type argument that for a suitable class of Liouville numbers (that will form a dense G_{δ} subset of \mathbb{T}), there are no eigenvalues for any f with the given modulus of continuity and any phase ω . Thus one has to look for improved regularity of f only when the frequency α is not Liouville.

Here is a result in this direction.

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let us describe some results that challenge Paradigm 2.

Paradigm 2. Suitable periodic approximation should imply absolute continuity of the limit, or at least continuity.

Of course the results above, in the particular case of Liouville frequencies, challenge this paradigm as well. Let us now focus on the scenario of uniform approximation by periodic potentials, and hence the class of limit-periodic potentials.

Recall that it had been known since the 1980's that the set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely absolutely continuous spectrum is dense.

Theorem (Avila 09, D.-Gan 11)

The set of limit-periodic V for which the Schrödinger operator with potential V has purely singular continuous spectrum is a dense G_{δ} .

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

In fact, the proof of the latter result actually gives the following stronger statement:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

For every bounded V and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a limit-periodic potential $V_{\rm lp}$ with $\|V_{\rm lp}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V + V_{\rm lp}$ has pure point spectrum.

In fact, the proof of the latter result actually gives the following stronger statement:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

For every bounded V and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a limit-periodic potential $V_{\rm lp}$ with $\|V_{\rm lp}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V + V_{\rm lp}$ has pure point spectrum.

In fact, the proof of the latter result actually gives the following stronger statement:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

For every bounded V and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a limit-periodic potential $V_{\rm lp}$ with $\|V_{\rm lp}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ such that the Schrödinger operator with potential $V + V_{\rm lp}$ has pure point spectrum.

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).
The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

The classical Kunz-Souillard method was devised in 1980. It applies to the discrete one-dimensional Anderson model, that is, to V's in our context given by i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution of the random variables is required to be absolutely continuous with a reasonably nice density.

The quite unique feature of this method is that it establishes dynamical localization (i.e., the non-spreading of wavepackets governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation) directly, and only then derives spectral localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions).

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{-1/2} e^{-d \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n \rfloor - 1} \min\{a_{(\text{sgn } n)2j}^2, a_{(\text{sgn } n)(2j-1)}^2, \lambda\}} < \infty.$$
(1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{-1/2} e^{-d \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n \rfloor - 1} \min\{a_{(\operatorname{sgn} n)2j}^2, a_{(\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1)}^2, \lambda\}} < \infty.$$
(1)

Let $\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{|n|-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{a_{(\operatorname{sgn} n)2j}^2,a_{(\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1)}^2,\lambda\}}<\infty.$$
 (1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following

holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{|n|-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{a_{(\operatorname{sgn} n)2j}^2,a_{(\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1)}^2,\lambda\}}<\infty.$$
 (1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{-1/2} e^{-d \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|n|-1}{2} \rfloor} \min\{a_{(\text{sgn } n)2j}^2, a_{(\text{sgn } n)(2j-1)}^2, \lambda\}} < \infty.$$
(1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

 $V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{-1/2} e^{-d \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|n|-1}{2} \rfloor} \min\{a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)2j}^2, a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)(2j-1)}^2, \lambda\}} < \infty.$$
(1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{-1/2} e^{-d \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|n|-1}{2} \rfloor} \min\{a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)2j}^2, a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)(2j-1)}^2, \lambda\}} < \infty.$$
(1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{-1/2} e^{-d \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|n|-1}{2} \rfloor} \min\{a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)2j}^2, a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)(2j-1)}^2, \lambda\}} < \infty.$$
(1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{-1/2} e^{-d \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|n|-1}{2} \rfloor} \min\{a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)2j}^2, a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)(2j-1)}^2, \lambda\}} < \infty.$$
(1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

has pure point spectrum (where $\mathcal{L}_0(\xi_{-\lfloor n \rfloor+1}, \ldots, \xi_{\lfloor n \rfloor-1}) := 0$).

For our application to quasi-periodic potentials, we use the following extension of the Kunz-Souillard method:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables with distributions $r_n(x) dx$, where $r_n(x) = a_n^{-1}r(a_n^{-1}x)$, $a_n > 0$, and r is compactly supported and bounded. Then, there are constants d = d(r), $\lambda = \lambda(r) > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded and such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{-1/2} e^{-d \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|n|-1}{2} \rfloor} \min\{a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)2j}^2, a_{(\text{sgn}\,n)(2j-1)}^2, \lambda\}} < \infty.$$
(1)

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables that are uniformly bounded.

Let $\{\mathcal{L}_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, be a collection of linear functionals with uniformly bounded norms.

Then, almost surely, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, V(n) = \xi_n + \chi_n + \mathcal{L}_n(\xi_{-|n|+1}, \dots, \xi_{|n|-1})$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{\lfloor m(n)\rfloor}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n(j-1)\over 2} \int \min\{\varepsilon_{\lfloor m((\operatorname{sgn} n)2j)\rfloor}^{2},\varepsilon_{\lfloor m((\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1))\rfloor}^{2}\}}<\infty$$

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{\lfloor m(n)\rfloor}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n(j-1)\over 2} \int \min\{\varepsilon_{\lfloor m((\operatorname{sgn} n)2j)\rfloor}^{2},\varepsilon_{\lfloor m((\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1))\rfloor}^{2}\}}<\infty$$

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{|m(n)|}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{|n|-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)2j)|}^{+\varepsilon}\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1))|}^{+}\}}<\infty$$

Let ${\chi_n}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) \, dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k |r(\varepsilon_k | x), \varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k}$. $\{\{\xi_{s,k}\}: |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{|m(n)|}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{|n|-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)2j)|}^{+\varepsilon}\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1))|}^{+}\}}<\infty$$

Let ${\chi_n}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k |r(\varepsilon_k|x)| \in 0$, and

 $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{|m(n)|}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{|n|-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)2j)|}^{+\varepsilon}\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1))|}^{+}\}}<\infty$$

Let ${\chi_n}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $|| \cdot ||_{+}$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{\lfloor m(n)\rfloor}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{\lfloor n\rfloor-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{\lfloor m((\operatorname{sgn} n)2j)\rfloor}^{+}\varepsilon_{\lfloor m((\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1))\rfloor}^{2}\}}<\infty$$

Let ${\chi_n}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{|m(n)|}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{|n|-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)2j)|}^{2},\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1))|}^{2}\}}<\infty$$

Let ${\chi_n}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{|m(n)|}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{j}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{|m((\mathrm{sgn}n)2j)|}^{2},\varepsilon_{|m((\mathrm{sgn}n)(2j-1))|}^{2}\}}<\infty$$

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{|m(n)|}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{|n|-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)2j)|}^2,\varepsilon_{|m((\operatorname{sgn} n)(2j-1))|}^2\}}<\infty$$

Let $\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{|m(n)|}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{|n|-1}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{|m((\mathrm{sgn} n)2j)|}^{2},\varepsilon_{|m((\mathrm{sgn} n)(2j-1))|}^{2}\}}<\infty$$

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, \ V(n) = \sum_{k \ge |m(n)|} \xi_{n,k} + \chi_n + \sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\}, |m(s)| < |m(n)|)$$

For our application to limit-periodic potentials, we need a more general version of the extension of the Kunz-Souillard method discussed above:

Theorem (D.-Gorodetski 16)

Suppose the independent random variables $\{\xi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge |m(n)|}$ are given, where $\xi_{n,k}$ is distributed with respect to $r_k(x) dx$, $r_k(x) = \varepsilon_k^{-1} r(\varepsilon_k^{-1} x)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$, and $\sum \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Suppose also that a collection of linear functionals $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} : \{\{\xi_{s,k}\} : |m(s)| < |m(n)|\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, with uniformly bounded $\|\cdot\|_+$ norms.

Then there is a constant d = d(r) > 0 such that the following holds. Suppose

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varepsilon_{|m(n)|}^{-1/2}e^{-d\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{j}{2}\rfloor}\min\{\varepsilon_{|m((\mathrm{sgn} n)2j)|}^{2},\varepsilon_{|m((\mathrm{sgn} n)(2j-1))|}^{2}\}}<\infty$$

Let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be independent random variables that are uniformly bounded. Then, the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

$$V:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{R}, \ V(n)=\sum_{k\ge |m(n)|}\xi_{n,k}+\chi_n+\sum_{k=0}^{|m(n)|-1}\mathcal{L}_{n,k}(\{\xi_{s,k}\},|m(s)|<|m(n)|)$$